

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/955,668 09/19/2001 Shuzo Sato 09792909-5187 1236 33448 08/04/2003 ROBERT J. DEPKE LEWIS T. STEADMAN **EXAMINER HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC** NICOLAS, WESLEY A 131 SOUTH DEARBORN **30TH FLOOR** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60603 DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Δπ.	nlication No.	nnlicent(s)	— <i>[]</i>
		plication No.	pplicant(s)	J
Office Action Summan		/955,668	SATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	Exa	amin r	Art Unit	. /
The MAN INC DATE of this are		sley A. Nicolas	1742	
Th MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app ars	on the cover sneet wi	tn tne correspona nce ad	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7  Status	MUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). his communication. t thirty (30) days, a reply within dimum statutory period will app for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirt ly and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this co	
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	_	tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<b>-</b>	,	
4) Claim(s) 1-91 is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected	l.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or electi	on requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	is/are: a)□ accepted c	or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a			* *	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction	<del></del>		sapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings	, , ,			
12) The oath or declaration is object	•	er.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	•	rity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ Non	ne of:			
<ol> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the p</li> </ol>	riority documents hav	ve been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National received.	Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c	claim for domestic prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a)  The translation of the fore 15)  Acknowledgment is made of a		• •		
Attachment(s)	·	-		-
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 7	

Application/Control Number: 09/955,668

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-49, drawn to a polishing method, classified in class 205, subclass 640.
  - II. Claims 50-89, drawn to a polishing apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 224M
  - III. Claims 90-91, drawn to a plating apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 224R
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as an apparatus which does not include a table for holding the object.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 09/955,668

Art Unit: 1742

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as electrochemical plating instead of polishing.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and also different functions, namely the invention of Group II is directed to an apparatus for polishing and the invention of Group III is directed to an apparatus for plating.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Robert Depke on July 7, 2003, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,668

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)305-0082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7am to 5pm.

The Supervisory Primary Examiner for this Art Unit is Roy King whose telephone number is (703) 308-1146.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Wesley A. Nicolas

July 31, 2003